

ENTEREDSeptember 09, 2022
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America

v.

Tiffany Gish

Defendant

)

)

Case No. 4:22-MJ-2082

)

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**Part I - Eligibility for Detention**

Upon the

- Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
 Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

- A. **Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (*previous violator*):** There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
- (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
 - (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
 - (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
 - (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
 - (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
 - (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 - (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; **and**
 - (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; **and**
 - (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; **and**
 - (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

- (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
- (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
- (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
- (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
- (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above

- The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (*Part III need not be completed.*)

OR

- The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

- Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
- Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
- Prior criminal history
- Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
- History of violence or use of weapons
- History of alcohol or substance abuse
- Lack of stable employment
- Lack of stable residence
- Lack of financially responsible sureties
- Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

- Significant family or other ties outside the United States
- Lack of legal status in the United States
- Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
- Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
- Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
- Use of alias(es) or false documents
- Background information unknown or unverified
- Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release


OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

See Continuation Sheet, attached.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 09/09/2022



United States Magistrate Judge

United States v. Tiffani Gish, H-22-MJ-2082 (Continuation Sheet for Detention Order)

Tiffani Shea Gish is charged by complaint with influencing a federal officer by threat and interstate communication of a threat to injure another person. After a hearing, the court found probable cause for both offenses. The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there are no conditions that will assure community safety and by a preponderance of the evidence that there are no conditions that will assure the defendant's appearance if she is released.

The defendant appears to suffer from severe mental impairments with symptoms including paranoia and delusions. A competency hearing is set for September 13, 2022.

On September 3, 2022, U.S. District Judge Cannon (S.D. Fla.) reported to the U.S. Marshal Service that she received three threatening voicemails from a person identifying herself as Evelyn Salt (the name of a movie character). The voicemails are very disjointed and bizarre, but they do contain explicit threats to injure and murder Judge Cannon. The agent confirmed that the transcriptions of the voicemails set forth in the affidavit in support of the criminal complaint, ECF No. 1 at 3-4, are accurate, and the court will not repeat the substance of the threats here.

Deputy U.S. Marshals were able to track Gish down at her apartment in Houston. Gish admitted making the calls.

In the voicemails, during court appearances, and when interviewed both by Pretrial Services and the Deputy Marshals, Gish claims that she is "in charge of nuclear" for the United States government, that she is a CIA officer, and that she is a Navy SEAL. She claims to have been a government employee since the age of four. She believes that she is more powerful than the federal government.

Gish's mother has stated that she is in fear of Gish and that Gish has been violent with her in the past. The investigation revealed that Gish has made similar online threats in the past—to the governor of Arizona and to Hillary Clinton. She has a long criminal history including multiple arrests and convictions for assaulting officers, resisting arrest, disorderly conduct, and assault.

Gish is unemployed, has no family or friends who will act as co-surety or third-party custodian. Unfortunately, given her mental problems, Gish has no self-control and is not likely to follow this court's orders. The court believes she will continue making online threats, will not show up for court, and will not follow the supervising officer's instructions.

The court understands defense counsel's argument that Gish has not carried out the threats, but the court considers the threats themselves to be harmful. Threats such as these are designed to place the victim in fear and cause distress and apprehension. These things are harmful even if the defendant had no subjective intent to carry out the threats.